Beyond 'One Size Fits All'

A tiered model for digital preservation

Open Repositories 2013 Umar Qasim, Sharon Farnel, John Huck University of Alberta Libraries (UAL)

The context

Digital preservation is a challenging task Rapid technological change 0 Exponential increase in digital content Meeting this challenge requires extensive resources - financial, human, technical Cultural institutions must make choices about implementing preservation strategies

Beyond 'One Size Fits All' ...

Objective - select preservation strategies based on characteristics of resources Tiered Assessment Model - digital resources assessed and ranked according to three factors • Type of resource Archival responsibility Projected preservability

Type of Resource

- Nature of the resource In descending order of priority Collections of strength Locally created, born digital resources Other locally digitized or purchased resources Licensed resources with perpetual access rights
 - Externally created resources of great value and significance to the institution

Archival Responsibility

- Risk involved in not undertaking certain preservation actions
- In descending order of risk level
 - Sole responsibility
 - Shared responsibility
 - Third-party responsibility

Projected Preservability

- Likelihood that a resource will be accessible and usable in the long-term
 Considers adoption, openness, transparency, stability, interoperability
- In descending order of predicted preservability
 - o High
 - o Medium
 - o Low

Implementing the Tiered Model

- Resources assessed and ranked according to the three factors
- Required preservation level assigned
 - Preservation levels reflective of number and type of bundled preservation operations (e.g. normalization_migration_etc.)

Preservation Strategies	Gold Plan	Silver Plan	Bronze Plan
Bit Preservation	\checkmark	\checkmark	\checkmark
Core Metadata	\checkmark	\checkmark	\checkmark
Virus Checks	\checkmark	\checkmark	\checkmark
Multiple Copies	\checkmark	\checkmark	\checkmark
Integrity Checks / Checksum	V	\checkmark	\checkmark
Bagit File Packaging	\checkmark	\checkmark	\checkmark
Unique and Persistent Identifiers	\checkmark	\checkmark	
Normalization	\checkmark	\checkmark	
Characterization and Validation Checks	\checkmark	\checkmark	
Extended Metadata	\checkmark	\checkmark	
Migration	\checkmark		
Full Metadata	\checkmark		
Media Refresh	\checkmark		

Preservation levels at UAL

- Number of preservation levels and operations bundled in each depends on local context
- Levels
 - \circ Gold
 - o Silver
 - o Bronze

The Value Matrix

- Helps determine level of preservation
- Overall score = aggregate of scores for three factors
- Preservation level based on overall score
 90% or above = Gold
 60% 90% = Silver
 - 60% or below = Bronze
- Scores used as a guideline; final decision made by digital preservation team



Preservation Strategies

Preservation Strategies	Gold Plan	Silver Plan	Bronze Plan
Bit Preservation	\checkmark	\checkmark	\checkmark
Core Metadata	\checkmark	\checkmark	\checkmark
Virus Checks	\checkmark		\checkmark
Multiple Copies	\checkmark		\checkmark
Integrity Checks / Checksum	\checkmark		\checkmark
Bagit File Packaging	\checkmark		\checkmark
Unique and Persistent Identifiers	\checkmark	\checkmark	
Normalization	\checkmark	\checkmark	
Characterization and Validation Checks	\checkmark	\checkmark	
Extended Metadata	\checkmark		
Migration	\checkmark		
Full Metadata	\checkmark		
Media Refresh	\checkmark		

An Example

- Western Canadiana resources held in Special Collections
 - Collection of strength
 - Locally digitized to highest standards
 - Local preservation only
- High individual scores = high overall score
- Preservation level = Gold

In Summary ...

- Tiered Assessment Model for assessing and ranking digital resources
- Designed to be simple and flexible
- Allows for localized implementation



Thank you! Questions?

Umar Qasim umar.qasim@ualberta.ca

Sharon Farnel sharon.farnel@ualberta.ca

John Huck john.huck@ualberta.ca