# Repository Redux: The Past, Present & Future of Fedora

Open Repositories 2013
Charlottetown, Prince Edward Island
Thursday, 11 July 2013

Tom Cramer @tcramer

# A (Funding) History of Fedora

- Original software created 2000–08 with \$2.4M from the Mellon Foundation
  - +\$500,000 from UVA Library
- Moore Grant: 2007-11, \$4.9M
- Committers from 10 institutions
- 2009-present: DuraSpace's sponsorship program has provided funding for a tech lead

The Success of Fedora

- Architecture is demonstrably Flexible & Extensible
- Support for Durability
- One foot in the linked data world
- A decade of maturity & proven use
- Substantial community of adopters, contributors, vendors



# **Looming Storm Clouds**

#### **Technical**

- Performance
- Fault tolerance
- Scalability
- Complexity
- Aging code base

#### <u>Organizational</u>

- Small, fixed pool of developers
- Demands outstrip applied resources
- Lack of vibrancy

# **New Opportunities**

- Front-ends: eSciDoc, Hydra, Islandora
  - Attracting new energy and adopters
  - Creating new technical demands
- Evolved technical environment
  - Web architectures & horizontal scaling
  - Linked data
- Data management mandates



#### **Fedora Futures Takes Shape**

- OR12: Ad hoc meeting
- September `12:
  - Meet to compare needs and notes
  - Charter a 3 month investigation
- December '12:
  - Commit to a three year project
  - Announce, Invite and Launch

# **Fedora Futures Objectives**

- Preserve the strengths of the architecture and community
- Address the needs for robust and fullfeatured repository services (that we now understand very well)
- Provide a platform in the repository ecosystem for the next 5-10 years

# **Technical Requirements**

- Highly scalable
- High availability
- Higher performance
- Flexible storage
- Robust auditing, reporting and metrics
- Enhanced fixity and versioning

### Requirements, continued

- Work for small, medium and large institutions
  - Easy to deploy, administer
- Support breadth of needs
  - Traditional IR
  - Heterogeneous content (e.g., media)
  - Emerging data management needs
- Interoperate with other systems
  - Lean core, modular, APIs

# **Organizational Requirements**

- Revitalized corps of developers
- Robust community investment and governance
- Bigger community base
  - Geographic
  - Commercial & Non-Profit
  - Additional domains

#### **Fedora Futures Delivers**

- January Feb '13
  - Evaluate platforms for Alpha
- March June '13
  - Alpha v1 development
- July '13
  - Alpha v1 released!
- 2<sup>nd</sup> half of '13: Beta development

# Fedora 4 Alpha 1 Highlights

- Roughly 80% of Fedora 3.x functionality
  - in 7% of the lines of code
  - with 72% test coverage (vs. 10% for Fedora 3.x)
- Clustering
- Batch operations
- Transaction support
- Policy-driven & projected storage
- Self-healing
- One step install...

#### Who Has Contributed So Far...

- Brown University
- Center for Disease Control (CDC)
- Columbia University
- Discovery Garden
- DuraSpace
- FIZ Karlsruhe
- Indiana University
- MediaShelf
- Northeastern University

- Oxford University
- Penn State
- Smithsonian Inst.
- Stanford
- UCSD
- UNC Chapel Hill
- University of Virginia
- University of New South Wales



## We Need You...

- Financial contributions
- Developer contributions
- Use cases & priorities
- Integration & testing
- Advocacy & evangelism

#### **Next Steps**

- 1. Donate money http://duraspace.org/sponsors
- 2. Add a developer contact awoods@duraspace.org
- 3. Join the email list <a href="mailto:ff-tech@googlegroups.com">ff-tech@googlegroups.com</a>
- 4. Install the alpha github.com/futures/fcrepo4/
- 5. Chime in!
  Give use cases, feedback

